

Adherence to physical rehabilitation delivered via tele-rehabilitation for people with Multiple Sclerosis: a scoping review protocol

G. Goldsmith^{1,2}, J. Bollen¹, V. Salmon¹, J. Freeman³, S. Dean¹



¹University of Exeter, ²Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Foundation Trust, ³University of Plymouth



Background:

- The benefits of exercise and physical activity for people with Multiple Sclerosis (pwMS) are well established.^[1] However pwMS are less active than the general population,^[1] often find it more difficult to exercise^[2] and can experience problems attending out-patient rehabilitation appointments.^[3]
- Tele-rehabilitation is one potential solution;^[4] its use has recently increased due to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic^[5] and it is promoted in the Department of Health's Long Term Plan.^[6] However, there are concerns that using tele-rehabilitation could affect patient-clinician interactions^[7] and therefore reduce adherence to interventions.
- Previous tele-rehabilitation reviews^[8,9] have not captured data about the experiences of pwMS and their adherence to exercise and physical activity interventions.
- As adherence is vital to the success of exercise programmes,^[10] the effect of tele-rehabilitation delivery on adherence warrants investigation.

Aim:

To provide an overview of the literature regarding physical rehabilitation delivered via tele-rehabilitation for pwMS with specific focus on adherence, use of behaviour change interventions, and experiences of pwMS and therapists.

Methods:

Scoping review methodology based on the 6 stage framework described by Arksey and O'Malley^[11] and Levac *et al.*^[12]

Research questions:

- What levels of adherence are reported by studies prescribing physical rehabilitation delivered via tele-rehabilitation for pwMS?
- To what degree are valid and reliable measures of adherence used within studies?
- Is there evidence of integration of behaviour change techniques within the physical rehabilitation programmes prescribed, and how well is this reported?
- Is there evidence that integrated behaviour change techniques influence levels of adherence to prescribed physical rehabilitation programmes?
- What are the reported experiences of pwMS and physical rehabilitation prescribers regarding adherence to physical rehabilitation?

Eligibility criteria:

- **Study design:** papers of any study design except study protocols.
- **Population:** adults with Multiple Sclerosis.
- **Intervention:** exercise and physical activity programmes prescribed for a therapeutic purpose and delivered via tele-rehabilitation. This could be as part of a multifactorial rehabilitation programme or a single intervention, delivered to individuals or a group.
- **Outcomes:** information relating to adherence, including: adherence levels, methods of reporting adherence, pwMS' and therapists' experiences of adherence.

Process:

- Article screening, data extraction and quality assessment by one reviewer with 10% checked by a second reviewer and disagreements resolved by consensus and discussion with a third reviewer if needed.
- Discussion will include key findings, identification of gaps in current knowledge, and implications for future research.
- Consultation meetings with pwMS and therapists will be held to discuss initial review findings, identify areas for future research and discuss dissemination methods.

Stage 1: Identifying the research questions

Stage 2: Identifying relevant studies

Stage 3: Study selection

Stage 4: Charting the data

Stage 5: Collating, summarising and reporting the results

Stage 6: Consultation

Planned dissemination of scoping review:

- Submission to peer reviewed journals and for presentation at conferences.
- Dissemination to pwMS and clinicians guided by the consultation process.

Patient and Public Involvement and Engagement (PPIE):

- PPIE throughout protocol development through written feedback and virtual discussions.
- PPIE feedback integrated through identification of specific dissemination methods and including a research question about pwMS' experiences.

Conclusion:

This review will assist clinicians in understanding how to help pwMS exercise with optimal adherence and in making decisions regarding the use of tele-rehabilitation. This will help ensure that the benefits of exercise and physical activity are translated into improved clinical outcomes.

Acknowledgements:

- Thank you to the PPIE contributors for contributing to protocol development.
- This work was supported by a Health Education England and the National Institute of Health Research South West Internship funding award.

References:

1. Motl RW et al. Exercise in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Lancet neural.* 2017;16(10):848-56.
2. Stuifbergen AK et al. Exercise, functional limitations, and quality of life: a longitudinal study of persons with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006;87:935-943.
3. Shaw MT et al. Telerehabilitation benefits patients with multiple sclerosis in an urban setting. *J Telemed Telecare.* 2021;27(1):39-45.
4. Rimmer JH et al. Rationale and design of the tele-exercise and multiple sclerosis (TEAMS) study: A comparative effectiveness trial between a clinic- and home-based telerehabilitation intervention for adults with multiple sclerosis (MS) living in the deep south. *Contemp Clin Trials.* 2018;71:186-193.
5. Signal N. Implementation of telerehabilitation in response to COVID-19: lessons learnt from neurorehabilitation clinical practice and education. *NZ J Physiother* 2020;48:117-26.
6. Department of Health NHS Long Term Plan 2010 Available from: <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/online-version/chapter-5-digitally-enabled-care-will-go-mainstream-across-the-nhs/>
7. Pugliese M, Wolff A. The value of communication, education, and self-management in providing guideline-based care: lessons learned from musculoskeletal telerehabilitation during the COVID-19 crisis. *H S S J.* 2020;Nov;16(1_suppl):160-3
8. Dennett R et al. Effectiveness of and User Experience With Web-Based Interventions in Increasing Physical Activity Levels in People With Multiple Sclerosis: A Systematic Review. *Physical Therapy* 98(8): 679-690.
9. Rintala A et al. Effectiveness of technology-based distance physical rehabilitation interventions on physical activity and walking in multiple sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2018;40(4):373-387.
10. Bailey DL et al. Defining adherence to therapeutic exercise for musculoskeletal pain: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med* 2020;54:326-331.
11. Arksey H and O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol* 2005;8(1):19-32.
12. Levac D et al. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. *Implementation Sci* 2010;5:69.